Joseph Jack Kirkland: HPLC Particle Pioneer

Joseph Jack Kirkland answered questions from Gert Desmet on his pioneering career in high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).

Gert Desmet: How did you enter the field of chromatography?
Joseph Jack Kirkland: In the late 1950s I began to use gas chromatography (GC) to solve problems relating to agricultural products that were being developed at DuPont. This effort was triggered by interactions with Dupont’s Dr Steve Dal Nogare who started the early studies in GC, especially in programmed temperature operation. GC analyses proved to be quite useful, but there were many compounds of interest to Dupont that were not volatile and could not be separated by this technique.

In some cases I was able to derivatize these materials to produce structures that were sufficiently volatile for GC analysis, but many problems still remained that needed a solution. Around 1960, I attempted liquid chromatography (LC) separations of some non-volatile compounds using large-particle silica gel columns as the separating medium, a low pressure pump, and a refractometer as a detector. Crude separations resulted, but it was too slow, poorly reproducible, and very frustrating. I really did not know enough to create the desired result. Therefore, this project was not pursued further. However, in 1964, when I was visiting Europe, during a trip to Eindhoven, I came across Dr Joseph (J.F.K.) Huber in a laboratory performing what we now call high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).

Joseph was using a constant pressure pump (1000 psi), a small diameter column with ~50 μm diatomaceous earth particles, and a ultraviolet (UV) spectrometer that had been converted into a crude detector. With this arrangement, Joseph was demonstrating remarkable (for that time) separations of non-volatile compounds using a liquid-liquid chromatographic technique. This visit was so interesting that on my return to DuPont, I approached my supervisor and pleaded for the time and resources to begin a programme into researching HPLC technology. This request was approved and I then started to develop the materials that were needed to make the technique routine. To improve the technology, I believed that a better column packing was required, together with a reliable and highly-sensitive UV detector. Both of these items were subsequently developed, put into use, and a patent and papers on them published.

GD: Who were the people that most influenced your scientific and professional life and work?
JJK: There have been many important people in my life that have strongly influenced the paths that I have taken and the things that I...
have done along the way. R.A. Day, professor of analytical chemistry at Emory University (Atlanta, Georgia, USA) opened my eyes to the possibilities of analytical chemistry. He encouraged me in many ways so that I was later able to acquire a doctorate (at the University of Virginia, Virginia, USA) that better equipped me for research activities.

Also a chemist, Karin has been a strong advocate, a sharp technical critic, and a patient and tireless reviewer of ideas.

**Column packing techniques are a combination of science and art. This results from the lack of knowledge of how many unknown factors are involved in forming an efficient, stable packed bed after all these many years of developments.**

Dr Ralph K. Iler hired me into DuPont, which resulted in a strong personal friend and a world-class mentor in the science of colloids, especially silica. My efforts in developing silica supports for HPLC have largely grown out of my association with Ralph Iler. Dr Joseph J. DeStefano, long-time friend and co-worker, has largely been the driving force for any entrepreneurship that I might have shown, always encouraging basic studies that have resulted in commercial products for chromatography. The publications and friendship with Professor J. Calvin Giddings were key factors in my (partial) understanding of the basics in chromatography and for my research in field-flow fractionation (FFF) during the 1980s. Dr Lloyd R. Snyder has been a strong personal friend and co-worker for more than 40 years. Lloyd and I have participated in research, published papers, written several books, and delivered HPLC training courses together. To this day, we still discuss HPLC results and developments. It is important to say that Lloyd has had a crucial influence on my career and on many developments in HPLC that I have made. Finally, my wife, Karin Monson Kirkland, has always been a key factor in my life and my career.

GD: What do you consider as the major breakthrough in the field that happened during your career?

**JJK:** The development of optimized particles and stationary phases have made HPLC a major analytical technique. This development has occurred slowly but surely over the past 50 years, with the efforts of many diligent scientists. For example, for me, first-of-a-kind commercial developments during this period included Zipax superficially porous particles in 1968, Permaplate (E.I. duPont de Nemours) bonded phase packings in 1970, Zorbax (E.I. duPont de Nemours) porous silica microspheres in 1972, Zorbax Rx (E.I. duPont de Nemours), Type B
silica (E.I. duPont de Nemours) in 1989, and sterically-protected silane stationary phases in 1990 (with Dr Joseph L. Glajch). Resurrection of superficially porous particles began with a 1992 production of 7-μm wide-pore superficially particles. This was followed by 5-μm Poroshell-300 (Agilent Technologies) particles in 1997 and Halo (Advanced Material Technology) sub-3 μm superficially porous particles (often called core–shell, porous shell, or Fused-Core [Advanced Material Technology] particles) in 2006. However, probably the most active user response was after the introduction of Zorbax (E.I. duPont de Nemours) porous silica microspheres which are still commercial. Many other scientists, both academic and industrial, have made important developments in column packings that resulted in the prominence of HPLC today.

Equally important in breakthroughs have been developments in the marriage of HPLC with mass spectrometry (MS). These exciting developments (for which I essentially had no input) have crystallized the benefits of HPLC separations and enormously widened the scope of the method so that now the opportunities for utility in many areas are virtually unlimited.

GD: Which decisions or changes in your career would you certainly make again and which not?

JJK: It would have been an advantage if I had concentrated more on training in mathematics during my schooling. In some ways I focused more on obtaining a general education and this left time too short to develop the mathematical skills that would have made my scientific career more fruitful. Other than that, I am happy with the decisions that I made along the way, and would probably not change any major movements in my career.

I have had only one “flash inspiration” that resulted in a success. The rest of the time it has been a grind.

GD: Did your work in industry slow down your scientific career or was it the opposite? Would your work have been much less influential if you had done your work in an academic environment?

JJK: My work in industry actually made it possible to develop my scientific career. Formative years at Hercules Powder Co. and then later at Dupont (after my doctorate) were spent solving difficult practical problems. This sharpened my focus and resulted in my learning how to obtain the required data to successfully meet a particular project goal. During that time, I gained experience in technologies such as polarography, infrared, and UV spectroscopy and colorimetric analysis of trace organic components, all of which broadened my analytical skills. I was particularly fortunate to have worked in Dupont during the “golden days” of research. Because of the extraordinary resources at DuPont available for virtually all areas of chemical science, I was able to do things that were only possible at this institution. Within DuPont, I could find experts in almost all...
Joseph Jack Kirkland, one of the early pioneers in high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), has worked in industry for his entire career. He was trained as an analytical chemist, where he used ultraviolet (UV) and infrared (IR) spectroscopy, organic colorimetry, gas chromatography (GC), and other classical analytical techniques to solve problems, first at Hercules Powder Company (Delaware, USA) and later at E.I. duPont de Nemours (Delaware, USA).

In 1964, he became keenly interested in what we now call high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) when, during a trip to Europe, he met J.F.K. Huber, who was conducting early experiments in the technique at Eindhoven Technical University (Eindhoven, Netherlands).

Recognizing the value of using a liquid carrier to solve problems associated with non-volatile compounds, Kirkland began research at DuPont and since then has made significant research contributions to separation technology in HPLC, size-exclusion liquid chromatography (SEC), and field flow fractionation (FFF).

Kirkland was born in Winter Garden (Florida, USA), and received a Bachelor of Arts (B.A.) degree and Master of Science (M.Sc.) degree in chemistry from Emory University (Georgia, USA).

He spent two years in the navy during WWII as an electronic technician. After two years with Hercules Powder, he obtained a PhD in analytical chemistry from the University of Virginia (Virginia, USA). He joined DuPont in 1953, and his initial focus was on problem-solving until his research on the basics of HPLC proved to be successful.

DuPont's Dr Ralph K. Iler, a world-renowned expert in silica and colloidal chemistry, worked in an adjacent laboratory. Discussions with Iler resulted in the development of a series of new silica particles for HPLC. Each of these new particles changed the course of how HPLC separations are performed by greatly increasing separation speed and improving peak shapes for previously difficult-to-separate compounds.

Chromatographic columns with these unique series of particles were commercialized by DuPont (Zipax, 1968; Permaphase, 1970; Zorbax, 1973), Rockland Technologies (Zorbax Rx, 1990), Agilent Technologies (Poroshell 2000), and Advanced Materials Technology (HalO, 2006). All these companies were based in Wilmington, Delaware, USA.

In the 1970s Kirkland began a fruitful relationship with Dr Lloyd R. Snyder, resulting in 21 years of teaching various ACS HPLC short courses. A series of definitive books on HPLC were also written with Dr Snyder, including the first book on HPLC, which Kirkland edited: “Modern Practice of Liquid Chromatography” (1); two editions of the classic HPLC method development: “Practical HPLC Method Development”(2); and three editions of the widely and internationally popular “Introduction to Modern Liquid Chromatography”(3). Collaboration with Lloyd Snyder also resulted in several important research publications on liquid chromatography. Kirkland also had a strong interest in research on the chemical bonding of organic ligands to silica surfaces.

I was also able to secure and train excellent technicians who were with me for a long time to assist in projects. One technician (Charles Dilks, Jr.) worked with me for 25 years including during my time at DuPont and later when I was with Rockland Technologies, Hewlett Packard, and Agilent Technologies. All of this experience has contributed to my research activities at Advanced Materials Technology that is my current (and last) challenge. I have also actually sampled the academic world as an adjunct professor at the University of Delaware (Delaware, USA), and during the 21 years that Lloyd Snyder and I taught courses in HPLC for the Continuing Education Department of the American Chemical Society. These experiences were interesting and challenging but I am better suited, and my interests and experience are better fitted, to the industrial world.
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In the middle 1970s, disclosed in a patent but not commercialized. In 1992, I published a paper on the properties of a wide-pore 7-μm SPP made by spray drying and designed for separating peptides and proteins. A similar 5-μm product made by another method was commercialized as Poroshell-300 while working at Agilent in 1997. After beginning research at Advanced Materials Technology in 2005, the vision for how to make a small SPP took place, and as a result, the porous-shell (Fused-Core [Advanced Material Technology]) 2.7-μm particle called Halo (Advanced Material Technology) was developed. The success of these SPPs has resulted in the proliferation of these materials by competing manufacturers, and this technology has now been established as a major force in HPLC. This situation gives me great pleasure as it vindicates my long-time interest and belief in the chromatographic capabilities of particles with a non-porous core and a thin porous outer shell.

**Most of any new developments in new HPLC technology will probably be in the life sciences for large molecules, for here is where many important challenges remain.**

**GD: What does it take to make a major scientific breakthrough? Perseverance or rather a flash of inspiration? Or just plain luck?**

**JJK:** In my experience, breakthroughs are a combination of perseverance and luck. To be on the right track, one has to have the notion of what is needed and some ideas of how it might be accomplished. The rest is hard work to come up with feasible hypotheses, then devise and run the experiments that will ultimately allow the goal to be reached. In my career, I have had only one “flash inspiration” that resulted in a success. The rest of the time it has been a grind. Even when the work is a scientific success, it may not find utility or a commercial outlet. Therefore, luck...
GD: Where do you think the R&D departments of the major instrument and column manufacturers should invest most in? What new technology could revolutionize the way that we do our separations and analyses?

JJK: Some believe that research in HPLC is becoming too redundant and that there is little to gain from continuing efforts. Actually, there is still much left to do, although true “breakthroughs” are becoming more difficult. Most of any new developments in new HPLC technology will probably be in the life sciences for large molecules, for here is where many important challenges remain. I am still enamoured with the possibilities for FFF methods, for this family of separation methods are really best suited for large macromolecules, both natural and synthetic. I felt this in the 1980s, during which I largely spent my time researching these methods, and this feeling has not subsided. The problem was that my research (and the even more extensive research by Cal Giddings) was just too early; the macromolecular, especially the bioscience community, was not ready for these developments. FFF has not reached the expected important utility because the larger instrument companies have not applied the required long-term effort and resources to make these methods into a broad commercial success. Smaller FFF instrument manufacturers have created a resurgence which gives hope that this technology will finally attain the prominence that it deserves.
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